

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104796
Title of the Manuscript:	DIGITAL-BASED PHYSICAL EDUCATION, SPORTS, AND HEALTH VISUAL LEARNING MEDIA DEVELOPMENT FOR DISABLE STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>This manuscript would give significant contribution to the field of special education, especially those with hearing impairments</p> <p>The original title is a bit confusing. Suggestion: THE DEVELOPMENT OF DIGITAL BASED VISUAL LEARNING MEDIA IN PHYSICAL, SPORT, AND HEALTH EDUCATION FOR HEARING IMPARED STUDENTS.</p> <p>ABSTRACT: omit the last two sentences</p> <p>LITERATURE REVIEW: concept reviews of digital based visual learning media and previous related research findings are necessary, in addition to the existing concept reviews of hearing impairments</p> <p>METHODOLOGY: it is mentioned that R & D approach using ADDIE model was used, but it should be acknowledged that the presented findings are not the complete R3D findings. No results of effectiveness of the product were presented. The description of methodology could have been better understood if the subjects, research designs, instruments, data analysis for each step in R & D were briefly described. Research findings need to be discussed with reviewed theories and previous findings.</p> <p>Additional information is needed on the methodology to judge the scientific correctness of the manuscript.</p> <p>More references on digital based media and the previous findings would improve the scientific quality of the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used needs to be improved for better scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Moderate revision is required.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunardi
Department, University & Country	University of Sebelas Maret, Indonesia