Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSE_92410
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Policy related to Teacher Retention in the Rural Primary Schools of Chhukha, Bhutan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The them is relevant to the scope of the journal and it is well written in general. The methodology is coherent to the aim of the research in terms of quantitative aspect. However, the authors do not explain how thy have applied the qualitative aspect in the processes, connecting with the specific contexts of the schools, the procedures of the generation, selection and interpretation of data. I suggest the authors revise the statement, below, in the Conclusion, as they do not bring any type of scientific source: the recruitment and retention of teachers in the remote and rural schools has become a challenge especially in the low-income countries due to the fact that these countries have severe shortages of competent teachers and large rural populations. A careful language revision is required throughout the article. Examples of these points: A number of school organizational factors play important factors like living conditions including proper government housing and sanitation was Other factors like classroom environments, adequate support from Education offices and timely intervention from the government was found essential selective number of teachers were included in the study viewed as greatest challenge	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nara Hiroko Takaki
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)