

# Original Research Article

## **Modelling the Determinants of Using Matengo Pits in Adapting to the Impacts of Climate Change and Variability: The Case of Mbinga District, Tanzania**

### **Abstract**

**Aims:** This study was undertaken to find out what determines the adoption of Matengo pits in order to adapt to the impact of climate change and variability.

**Study Design:** The study adopted a quantitative research design. The design allowed collection of a wide range of numerical data, covering the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents and their households, farm characteristics and institutional factors. These data were important in finding out the determinants of using Matengo pits.

**Methodology:** The study was conducted in Mbinga District, south-western Tanzania, because of the predominance of the pits. Three wards, which constituted about 10% of all 28 wards, were randomly selected and one village from each ward was selected to represent the other villages. Quantitative data were collected using structured interviews with systematically selected respondents from the sampling frame, which comprised all the heads of farmer households. The data were collected using in-depth interviews. A logistic regression analysis was conducted to find out the determinants of practicing Matengo pits.

**Results:** The findings indicated that slope of the land was the most important factor that determined the use of Matengo pits. This was based on the *Wald* value of 42.846, which was greater than all the other *Wald* values and the *p-values* of 0.000 at a 95% confidence level. Other significant determinants of using Matengo pits were sex of the household head (*p-value* = 0.000), farming experience (*p-value* = 0.002), knowledge of Matengo pits as a strategy for adapting to the impacts of climate change and variability (*p-value* = 0.004) and the size of a household (*p-value* = 0.014).

**Conclusion:** Given these findings, it is argued that knowledge of the usefulness of Matengo pits for adapting to the impacts of climate change and variability and availability of financial resources can increase the rate of adoption of Matengo pits. As such, the Government, Non-Governmental Organisations and Community-Based Organisations should provide education about the usefulness of the pits and fiscal resources to motivate more farmers in the district and other areas to adopt Matengo pits so as to improve their adaptive capacity, food security and the general socio-economic development.

Key words: *Climate change and variability, Matengo pits, Determinants and Logistic regression*

### **1. Introduction**

Climate change takes place at unprecedented rates; thus it has deleterious effects on natural, social and economic systems [1,2]. Agriculture is amongst the highly affected sectors, especially in developing countries, due to the countries' over-dependence on rainfall [3]. It is worth noting that agriculture is the backbone of the economies of many such countries [4,5,6]. Thus, climate change and variability affects crop production, food security, economies and general livelihoods of people in

many developing countries.

Various empirical studies have been undertaken to find out the strategies used to adapt to the effects of climate change and variability in farming systems as well as their sustainability in the face of the current and projected climate change. Mixed farming, changing planting date, the cultivation of early maturing crops, terracing, rainwater harvesting and irrigation are among the on-farm adaptation strategies adopted in various countries [7,8,9]. However, these strategies do not bear the desired results owing to the high rates of change in the earth's climate systems. Indeed, studies have indicated that many adaptation strategies fail or are projected to fail owing to the increase in climate change [10,11]. In order to have sustainable livelihoods, farmers ought to adopt innovative strategies; effective in the current and future climate change [10,11]. Innovative strategies include strategies which are not used or are new in a given social system [12].

Using Matengo pits, locally known as *ngolo*, is one of the strategies that can withstand climate change. It is a unique, traditional farming strategy used by the Matengo people who live in the south-western highlands of Tanzania, particularly in Mbinga District. The technique has been used in the district since the 19<sup>th</sup> century and has, therefore, been used for more than 200 years [13]. In practice, the technique involves digging pits of approximately 1.5m square by 0.5m deep all over the farm, thus forming ridges on which crops are grown [13]. The ridges are fertilised using grass or remnants of previous crops.

Traditionally, Matengo pits meant for soil conservation, the reduction of soil erosion and land management. Essentially, these were the reason for the introduction of the strategy on the steep slopes of Mbinga District [14,15,16]. However, recent studies have indicated that this is the best strategy for adapting to the impacts of climate change, especially drought [17]. According to these authors, water/moisture can stay in the pits for some days, thus enabling seeds to germinate even if there is no rain for days at the beginning of the rainy season. Moreover, Matengo pits can help to reduce the effects of dry spells (dry days between rainy seasons) on crop development and production. Dry spells cause soil water deficiency, thereby leading to plant water stress, crop withering and poor or no harvests. The strategy is useful in adapting to this climatic condition because the water in the pits can help crops grow even during such conditions. As such, Matengo pits are useful for crop production during climate change-induced droughts.

Therefore, although Matengo pits have been used for different purposes in Mbinga District, their usefulness with regard to adaptation to the impacts of climate change cannot be overemphasised. It is important, therefore, that more farmers in Mbinga District and other places adopt this strategy. The strategy can help increase crop production, food security and the general economic development of farmer households and countries. However, without an understanding of the determinants of its adoption, the strategy may not be adopted. This study was conducted to find out the determinants in question, focusing on Mbinga District.

## **2.0 Material and Methods**

### **2.1 Study Area**

This study was conducted in Mbinga District, Ruvuma Region, Tanzania. This area was selected owing to the predominance of Matengo pits.

## 2.2 Study Approaches and Design

The study adopted a quantitative design to collect a wide range of numerical data to find out the determinants of using Matengo pits. The data were collected using a questionnaire survey. The questionnaire was used to interview the sampled household heads.

## 2.3 Sample and Sampling Procedures

Three wards, which constituted about 10% of all 28 wards of Mbinga District, were randomly selected. The selected wards were Mikalanga, Kambarage and Mpapa. One village was selected from each ward. The villages were Ilela, Matekela and Mitawa. The Village Executive Officer of each village was consulted and requested to provide a list of farmer households; the lists were compiled to produce a sampling frame. The sampling frame was useful for determining the sample size and selecting a representative sample. The three villages had a total of 3,148 farmer households. Table 1 presents the number of farmer households in each village.

**Table 1: Number of Farmer Households per Village**

Ward	Village	Number of Households
Mikalanga	Ilela	1,368
Kambarage	Matekela	914
Mpapa	Mitawa	866
<b>Total</b>		<b>3,148</b>

The sample size was determined on the basis of a 95% confidence level and a 5% (0.05) precision level. The population for this study was finite and so the sample size was determined using the equation for determining a sample size for a finite population proposed by Kothari (2004). The equation is given below.

$$n = \frac{z^2 \cdot p \cdot q \cdot N}{e^2 (N - 1) + z^2 \cdot p \cdot q} \quad [18].$$

Where;

$n$  = sample size

$z$  = standard variate at a given confidence level (which is 1.96 at 95% confidence level)

$p$  = sample proportion

$q = 1 - p$

$N$  = size of the population (number of farmer households)

$e$  = precision (acceptable error).

*Data for the calculation were:*

$z = 1.96$ ,  $p = 0.2$  (population varies in terms of using Matengo pits or otherwise),

$q = 0.8$ ,

$N = 3,148$ ,

$e = 5\%$  (0.05).

*Inserting data into the equation:*

$$n = \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.2) (0.8) (3148)}{(0.05)^2 (3148) + (1.96)^2 (0.2) (0.8)} = 228$$

Thus, 228 respondents were interviewed. The number of respondents from each village was determined using proportionate stratified sampling, which allowed for the sampling of a proportional number of respondents from each village, according to the size of its population. The following equation of proportionate sampling proposed by Kothari was used to obtain the sample:

$$P_i = \frac{N_i}{N} n \quad [18].$$

Where  $P_i$  = the proportional sample of each village,  
 $N_i$  = the number of households in each village,  
 $N$  = the total households forming the sampling frame and  
 $n$  = the sample size.

The computations and sample size for each village are given in Table 2.

**Table 2: Proportional Sample for Each Village**

Village	Number of Households	Sample Size for Each Village
Ilela	1,368	1,368/3,148 x 228 = 99
Matekela	914	914/3,148 x 228 = 66
Mitawa	866	866/3,148 x 228 = 63
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,148</b>	<b>228</b>

Thus, 99 household heads were selected from Ilela village, 66 from Matekela village and 63 from Mitawa village. These household heads were systematically selected from the sampling frame.

## 2.4 Data Types and Data Collection Methods

The main data for this study included various variables believed to be the determinants of adopting Matengo pits. The data included the characteristics of the household heads: age, sex and level of education. The characteristics of the households were: household size, income, knowledge of Matengo pits, farm characteristics, including farm size, crops grown as well as institutional factors such as access to information on climate and access to agricultural extension services. These were quantitative data and were collected using a questionnaire. The questionnaire was administered to the sampled household heads.

## 2.5 Data Analysis Techniques

Logistic regression was used to analyse the determinants of adopting Matengo pits using IBM-SPSS Statistics. Logistic regression (binomial logistic equation) is appropriate for examining the relationship between the number of independent variables and a binary dependent variable (dependent variable on a dichotomous scale) [19,20]. The following model was used in doing the analysis:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_9 X_9$$

Where  $Y_i$  = a dichotomous dependent variable

$\beta_0$  = Y-intercept

$\beta_1 - \beta_9$  = a set of coefficients to be estimated and  
 $X_1 - X_9$  = hypothesised explanatory/independent variables.

In this study, using Matengo pits was a dependent variable, which was specified as *using* = 1 and *otherwise* = 0. Nine explanatory variables were hypothesised in relation to adoption theories and empirical studies (Table 3). Rogers argues that perceived attributes of innovation, types of innovation–decision, communication channels, the nature of social systems and the extent of change agents’ promotion efforts determine the rate of adopting an innovation [12]. Empirical studies have indicated various factors that determine the adoption of strategies for adapting to the impact of climate change. They include the sex of the household heads, the location of farms, access to information on climate and to extension services, as well as the agro-ecological setting [21,22]. On the basis of the reviewed studies and the aforementioned theory, this study hypothesised the explanatory variables in Table 3.

**Table 3: Explanatory Variables and Expected Signs**

Variable	Description	Expected Sign	Reason for the Expected Sign
X <sub>1</sub>	Sex of the household head (1=M, 0=F)	+	More male-headed households are use Matengo pits than their female-headed counterparts due to the intensive labour requirement.
X <sub>2</sub>	Age of household head	+	More aged people are involved in farming and use adaptation strategies than youths.
X <sub>3</sub>	Level of education of the head of household	+/-	Some farming strategies are preferred by educated people while others are not.
X <sub>4</sub>	Household size	+	More family members require services that necessitate adopting good adaptation strategies and increase man power to involve in Matengo pits.
X <sub>5</sub>	Farming experience	+	Experience increases the possibility of adopting farming strategies.
X <sub>6</sub>	Slope of land (1= Steep, 0=flat/gentle slope)	+	Matengo pits are mostly used on steep slopes because of their traditional role in soil conservation.
X <sub>7</sub>	Access to information on climate (1=much, 0=limited)	+	Access to information on climate motivates people to adopte adaptation strategies.
X <sub>8</sub>	Knowledge of Matengo pits (1= Yes, 0=No)	+	Knowledge is important factor in adoption decision process (Rogers, 2003)
X <sub>9</sub>	Knowledge of Matengo pits as a climate change adaptation strategy (1= much, 0=limited)	+	Knowledge is important factor in adoption decision process (Rogers, 2003)

### 3.0 Results and Discussion

Results of the logistic regression analysis are presented in Table 4. The overall fitness of the model as a tool for analysing the data was tested using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test of goodness-of-fit. With respect to studies with a 95% confidence level, the model is said to be good, if the results of the Hosmer and Lemeshow test indicate a significance value which is greater than 5% (0.05). The results of the test done in this study indicated a significance level of 0.482. As such, the model was appropriate for analysing the data on the determinants of using Matengo pits.

Decision on the significance of the determinants was based on the significance level, as indicated by the Sig. column in the logistic regression output (Table 4). Since the results are reported with a 95% confidence level, the significance value must be 0.05 (5%) or less, in the case of the significant independent variables. Besides, the Wald estimates give the importance of the contribution of each variable to the model, such that the variable with a higher Wald value is the most important variable. Table 4 show results of the logistic regression analysis.

**Table 4: Results of the Logistic Regression Analysis on the Determinants of Using Matengo Pits**

Hypothesised Independent Variable	B	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Sex of the household head	1.934	12.191	1	.000	6.918
Age of the household head	-.024	.052	1	.820	.976
Education of the household head	1.010	1.686	1	.194	2.747
Household size	.306	6.029	1	.014	1.358
Farming experience	.348	9.271	1	.002	1.416
Slope of land	4.596	42.846	1	.000	99.055
Access to information on climate change	-.294	.214	1	.644	.746
Knowledge of Matengo pits	3.723	3.103	1	.078	41.377
Knowledge of Matengo pits as an adaptation strategy to climate change and variability	2.047	8.199	1	.004	7.746
Constant	-12.886	15.135	1	.000	.000

Table 4 indicates that the significant determinants of using Matengo pits are the sex of the household head, the size of the household, farming experience, the slope of land and the knowledge of Matengo pits as a strategy for adapting to the impact of climate change and variability. The *p-values* for such variables were 0.000, 0.014, 0.002, 0.000 and 0.004, respectively. Among these, the slope of land was the most important determinant with a *Wald value* of 42.846, which was the greatest of all the *Wald values*. This was followed by the sex of the household head, farming experience, knowledge of Matengo pits as a strategy for adapting to the impact of climate change and variability and the size of a household. By contrast, the age of the household head, the level of education, access to information on climate and general knowledge of Matengo pits were statistically insignificant at a 95% confidence level. Although these variables were found to be insignificant, the positive and negative signs suggest that they have some influence on the adoption of Matengo pits. Nevertheless, the following discussion is on the significant determinants of adopting the strategy.

### 3.1 Slope of Land

The slope of land had significant influence on the adoption of Matengo pits. The pits were mostly used on steep slopes because of their traditional role in soil conservation. Studies have shown that Matengo pits were introduced on the steep slopes of Mbinga District to reduce soil erosion and conserve the soil [14,15,16]. Thus, the technique is used more on steep slopes than in flat or gently sloping areas.

### 3.2 Sex of the Household Head

Another significant determinant of using Matengo pits was the sex of the household head. Male-headed households were more likely to use Matengo pits than those headed by female heads. Female-

headed households found it difficult to adopt Matengo pits owing to the difficulty in digging the pits. The majority of the female-headed households who used the pits were found to have other characteristics, which motivated them to adopt the strategy. The characteristics included the size of a household; a big household had enough work force or a high income with which to engage other people to dig the pits for them. These findings concur with some previous studies which reported differences between male- and female-headed households in terms of using strategies for adapting to the impacts of climate change [22,23]. Both studies have shown that female-headed households were less likely to employ adaptation strategies than male-headed households because of the traditional social barriers that prevent females from having easy access to land, capital and other important resources.

### **3.3 Farming Experience**

Farming experience was the third most important determinant of using Matengo pits; it had a *Wald value* and a *p-value* of 9.271 and 0.002, respectively. It was found that more experienced farmers were more likely to adopt Matengo pits than their less experienced counterparts. Closely related to farming experience was the age of respondents. Some studies associate age and farming experience; age represented farming experience and affected adaptation to climate change impacts [21]. On the contrary, this study found that age was an insignificant explanatory variable. This may be due to the fact that the study used farming experience and age as independent explanatory variables and the fact that what influenced the use of Matengo pits was the duration in which one had engaged in farming (farming experience) more than age. This means that those who were experienced in farming were most likely to use Matengo pits, regardless of their age.

### **3.4 Knowledge of the Usefulness of Matengo Pits**

Knowledge of the usefulness of Matengo pits as a strategy for adapting to the impact of climate change and variability positively influenced the adoption of the strategy. From a theoretical viewpoint, Rogers postulated that knowledge is important in the adoption decision process [12]. People adopt technologies whose existence and usefulness they know about. Studies have reported that Matengo pits were a useful strategy for adapting to the impact of climate change and variability [17]. This study further noted that the technique helped to conserve moisture for some days or weeks, thus supporting crop germination and reducing water stress under dry conditions during various stages of crop development. This study has found that the farmers with knowledge of the usefulness of Matengo pits were more likely to adopt the strategy than those without or with less such knowledge.

### **3.5 Household Size**

The size of a household was the least important explanatory variable in the adoption of Matengo pits. This finding concurs with the finding of Deressa, who reported that, although the increase in the size of a household did not significantly increase the probability of adopting the strategy by the farmers in the Nile basin in Ethiopia, the positive sign indicated that large households were highly likely to adapt to climate change impacts [21]. In the present study, although the *Wald values* indicates that the size of a household was the least important explanatory variable, its influence as a factor for adoption of Matengo pits cannot be ignored. A large household provides the labour force required in using Matengo pits. In addition, the large number of household members increases the demand for life necessities, which encourages the adoption of strategies, including Matengo pits, which are likely to increase production.

## 4.0 Conclusion

This study sought to find out the determinants of using Matengo pits so as to foster its adoption for sustainable adaptation to climate change and variability. The logistic regression analysis done has shown that the slope of land, the sex of the household head, farming experience, knowledge of Matengo pits as a strategy for adapting to the impact of climate change and variability and the size of a household were the significant determinants of using the pits at a 95% confidence level. These findings show that some of the farmers do not adopt Matengo pits owing to a lack of knowledge of the usefulness of this strategy in adapting to the impact of climate change and variability. Knowledge is important because farmers cannot adopt a strategy whose usefulness they do not know. Other farmers do not use Matengo pits because they do not have the fiscal resources needed in preparing the pits. Thus, the Government (particularly the local government authorities), Non-Governmental Organisations and Community-Based Organisations in Mbinga District and other areas in the country need to provide education about the usefulness of the pits and, where possible, provide fiscal resources to motivate farmers to adopt Matengo pits in an effort to adapt to the impact of climate change and variability.

## References

1. Walsh, J. Wuebbles, D. Hayhoe, K. Kossin, J. Kunkel, K. Stephens, G. Thorne, P. Vose, R. Wehner, M. Willis, J. Anderson, D. Doney, S. Feely, R. Hennon P. Kharin, V. Knutson, T. Landerer, F. Lenton, T. Kennedy, J. and Somerville, R. (2014). Our Changing Climate: Climate Change Impacts in the United States. In: J. Melillo. T. Richmond and G. Yohe, ed., *The Third National Climate Assessment*, U.S. Global Change Research Program.
2. World Bank. (2010). *Agricultural Growth and Poverty Reduction: Additional Evidence*. Washington D.C., World Bank.
3. Christopherson. R.W. and Birkeland, G.H., (2018), *Geosystems: An Introduction to Physical Geography*. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. Upper Saddle River, USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
4. Prasad, P. V. (2011). *Climate Change and Climate Variability: El Salvador – Impacts on Productivity of Grain Crops and Opportunities for Management and Improvement*, Available at: [www.digitalcommons.unl.edu/intnormilpresent76](http://www.digitalcommons.unl.edu/intnormilpresent76).
5. Msuya, D. G. (2013). Farming Systems and Crop-livestock Land Use Consensus: Tanzanian Perspectives. *Open Journal of Ecology*, Volume 3(7), p 473-481. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/oje.2013.37055>.
6. Akinagbe, O. M. and Irohabe, I. J. (2014). Agricultural Adaptation Strategies to Climate Change Impacts in Africa: A Review. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, Volume 39(3), p. 407-418.
7. Nhemachena, C. and Hassan, R. M. (2007). *Micro-Level Analysis of Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change in Southern Africa*. Washington DC, International Food Policy Research Institute.
8. Bryan, E. Deressa T. T. Gbetibouo, G and Ringler, C. (2009). Adaptation to Climate Change in Ethiopia and South Africa: Options and Constraints. *Environmental Science & Policy*, Volume 12 (2009), p. 413-426. Available at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2008.11.002>.
9. Gbetibouo, G. A. (2009). *Understanding Farmers' Perceptions and Adaptations to Climate Change and Variability: The Case of the Limpopo Basin, South Africa*. International Food Policy Research Institute.
10. McCarthy, J. Canziani, O. Leary, N. Dokken, D. and White, K. (2001). *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability: Contribution of Working Group II to the Third*

- Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)*. Cambridge University Press. London.
11. Kangalawe, R. Y. Mung'ong'o, C. G. Mwakaje, A. G. Kalumanga, E. and Yanda, P. Z. (2017). Climate Change and Variability Impacts on Agricultural Production and Livelihood Systems in Western Tanzania. *Climate and Development*, Volume 9(3), p. 202-216. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2016.1146119>.
  12. Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations*. 5th edition. New York, The Free Press.
  13. Malley, Z.J.U., Kayombo, B. B., Willcocks, T.J., & Mtakwa, P.W. (2004). Ngoro: An indigenous, sustainable and profitable soil, water and nutrients conservation system in Tanzania sloping land. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 77(1).
  14. Moritsuka, N., Taivaka, U., Tsunoda, M., Mtakwa, P., & Kosak T (2000). Significance of Plant Residue Management under the Matengo Pit System in Mbinga District, Southern Tanzania. *J. Trop. Agr.* 44(2): 130-137.
  15. Nyasimi, M., Kimeli, P., Sayula, G., Radeny, M., Kinyangi, J., & Mungai, C. (2017). Adoption and Dissemination Pathways for Climate-Smart Agriculture Technologies and Practices for Climate-Resilient Livelihoods in Lushoto, Northeast Tanzania. *Climate*. MDPI.
  16. Nakamo, S.J. (2021). Multi-criterial analysis for modelling Matengo/Ngolo pits agro-ecological zones using fuzzy logic in Southern Tanzania. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 911. 012080. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/911/1/012080. IOP Publishing.
  17. Malekela, A.A and Lusiru, S.N. (2022). Climate change adaptation strategies through traditional farming practices. The case of Matengo pits in Mbinga District, Tanzania, *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, Vol 3, no 5, pp 3023-3033.
  18. Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. New Delhi, New Age International Publishers Limited.
  19. Fosu-Mensah, B. Y. Vlek, P. L. G. and Manschadi, A. M. (2010). Farmers' Perception and Adaptation to Climate Change; A Case Study of Sekyedumase District in Ghana. In: *World Food System - A Contribution from Europe*. Tropentag, Zurich: p. 14–16.
  20. Muzamhindo, N. Mtabheni, S. Jiri, O. and Hanyani-Mlambo, B. (2015). Factors Influencing Smallholder Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability in Chiredzi District of Zimbabwe. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, Volume 6(9), p. 1–9.
  21. Deressa, T. T. Hassan, R. M. Ringler, C. Alemu, T and Yesuf, M. (2009). Determinants of farmers' choice of adaptation methods to climate change in the Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Journal of Global environmental change*, Volume 19.
  22. Nabikolo, D. Bashaasha, B. Mangheni, N. M. and Majaliwa, J. G. (2012). Determinants of Climate Change Adaptation among Male and Female Headed Farm Households in Eastern Uganda. *African Crop Science Journal*, Volume 20(s2), p. 203-212.
  23. Tenge, A. De Graaff, J. and Hella, J. P. (2004). Social and Economic Factors Affecting the Adoption of Soil and Water Conservation in West Usambara Highlands, Tanzania. *Land Degradation and Development*, Volume 15(2004), p. 99-114. Available at: [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ldr.606](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ldr.606).