Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_92525
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of smart grid technology as a renewable energy source
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Lot of revisions is required in the alignment of the text. No proper alignment is followed. The content about the smart grid technology has to be explained in proper way and in details. The citations is more or less missing in paragraphs. The figures are not at all satisfactory and the explanation is missing for the individual figures. The actual outcome of the smart grid technology has to be explained in conclusion properly. The figure must be maintained consistency in their labelling. Compulsory need to be modified. Don't keep the scanned images. Keep the original figure. References has to be properly kept in the standard format accordingly in the journal required format.	
Minor REVISION comments	The alignment of the text is not proper. Lot of corrections required in the manuscript. Table 1 has to be properly presented. Explanation of figures are no where where mentioned in the text ,so mention it and explain it. Acknowledgement has to be added. Advantages and disadvantages of the smart grid technology has to be summarized properly and should not use bullets points as its manuscript not some class notes.	
Optional/General comments	The language of the manuscript has to be more technical and authenticated. The manuscript requires major corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhtar Khan
Department, University & Country	GITAM Deemed to be University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)