

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_92424
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematological and serum biochemical profiles of Clarias gariepinus (Burchell, 1822) fed commercial and farm-made feeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This research is very good, but you can repair with analysis research results	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Wu 2: didn’t understand the comment of the Reviewer- Wu3: corrected as requested by the Reviewer- Wu4: the phrase was restructured to respond to the remark of the Reviewer- Wu5: corrected as requested by the Reviewer- Wu 6: didn’t understand the comment of the Reviewer but the table was modified- Wu 7: the Reviewer’s comment was explained in the text- Wu8: didn’t understand the comment of the Reviewer. The reference used here was to discuss the difference between our study and the previous studies- Wu9: corrected as requested by the Reviewer- Wu10: the stress factors observed in the study were presented in brackets- Wu11: corrected as requested by the Reviewer
Minor REVISION comments	You can repair with reviewer argument	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	