

Original Research Article

Influence of different spacing and organic manure on the yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.)

ABSTRACT-

Aim: The trial was taken to find out the Influence of different spacing and organic manure on the yield and economics of the groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.)

Study design: Factorial randomized block design

Place and Duration of Study: The experiment was taken during the kharif season of 2021 at G.H. Raison University at Student Research Farm, Chhindwara, M.P..

Methodology: The set of nine treatment combination consist of three different spacing and three different OM which is applied @ 12 kg/ha of FYM, @ 6 kg/ha VC and @ 6 kg/ha of PM. The experiment was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with the treatment combination is T₁: S₁O₁ (30 cm x 10 cm + FYM), T₂: S₁O₂ (30 cm x 10 cm + VC), T₃: S₁O₃ (30 cm x 10 cm + PM), T₄: S₂O₁ (30 cm x 15 cm + FYM), T₅: S₂O₂ (30 cm x 15 cm + VC), T₆: S₂O₃ (30 cm x 15 cm + PM), T₇: S₃O₁ (45 cm x 10 cm + FYM), T₈: S₃O₂ (45 cm x 10 cm + VC) and T₉: S₃O₃ (45 cm x 10 cm + PM) and total number of plots is 27. At the time of experiment, the maximum mean of temperature was 41.6⁰C whereas the minimum mean temperature was 5.68⁰C. The economics of groundnut crop was calculated as per the fundamental market prices of the input and produced during the *kharif* season 2021.

Results: Maximum No. of pods/plant (30.67), Length of pod (2.72), No. of kernels/pod (2.07), Seed index (43.22 g), Shelling percentage (74.76 %), Pod weight/plant (18.66 g), Pod yield/plot (1.53 kg), Pod yield (63.01 kg/ha), Kernel yield (23.45 kg/ha), Haulm yield (39.56 kg/ha) and Harvest index (24.07 %) were recorded with T₃–30 cm x 10 cm with Poultry manure at 30, 60 and 90 DAS.

Conclusion: Based on this experiment, it was said that with spacing 30cm x 10cm in combination with poultry manure gives the maximum and significantly higher kernel yield (23.45 kg/ha), gross return (₹1,72,022.00/ha) as well as highest net return (₹99,367.00/ha).

Keywords: Spacing, Organic manure (OM), Farm Yard Manure (FYM), Vermicompost (VC), Poultry Manure (PM), Yield, Economics

INTRODUCTION

In Indian Agriculture, after cereals oilseeds are the second vital component which accounted for 19% of the world's oilseed area and 2.7% of oilseed production that is why it is popularly known as King of oilseed crops. Groundnut, the oilseed crop plays vital role in India which secure first place in area (4.94m ha), second place in production (6.69mt) and fourth place in productivity (1,335 kg /ha) in 2021-22. (Agriculture Marketing Intelligence Centre, PJTSAU, Jan 2022).

Legume cash is groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). Botanical research has revealed that Brazil in South America is the most likely location for the origin of the groundnut plant. The groundnut was initially introduced by a Jesuit Father in the first part of the 16th century. Shri Padmabhai Patel, a Tamil Nadu native, introduced groundnut to Gujarat in 1910. Its seeds may be eaten raw, roasted, or boiled, and they can also be used to extract oil, which is typically used to make vanaspati ghee, soap, cosmetics, and cold creams in addition to serving as a cooking medium. With a protein concentration of between 30% and 50%, the organic worth of protein is quite high and is comparable to the protein value of cow's milk. It also includes vitamins A, B, C, and D and has a 15–20% fat content. Animals are fed groundnut waste and its byproducts, including seeds, oil pressings, green or dried haulms, and raw materials for manufacturing things like oil and oiled cakes or organic manure. Additionally, groundnut shells are used as boiler fuel and as a filler in a variety of organic and biological products, including hard boards, activated charcoal, and cork alternatives. Due to its numerous uses, groundnut is a top cash crop for both internal and international commerce in several developing and industrialised nations (Donga and Mathukia, 2021).

But if only use of organic manure gives higher and organic production and also improves the soil health. Organic agriculture in that application of organic matter soil and no use of mineral compounds that aims to promote and enhance ecosystem health, including biological cycles and soil biological activity and minimize the use of external inputs (Ghaly *et al.*, 2018). Now a day, Organic farming is gaining a worth as a result of the awareness of inherent advantages, it put heads together in sustaining crop production and also in upholding dynamic soil nutrient status and safe environment as well as enhancing moisture retention capacity and crop output. By increasing the physical, chemical, and biological qualities of soil, organic manures serve to mitigate several nutrient deficits while also providing a better environment for growth and development (Avitoili, K. *et al.*, 2012).

Field crop soil physical, synthetic, and biological efficiency is greatly enhanced by the use of organic manure. Use of FYM at 10 to 15 t/ha increased groundnut unit and haulm yields and further established yield boundaries, such as shelling rate, 100 seed weight, and sound mature portion, in contrast to the recommended portion of manures (Subrahmaniyan et al., 2000). Farm Yard Manure (FYM) is traditionally used in India, but due to increased cropping intensity and area as well as other competing applications for cow manure, FYM is becoming less readily available. The FYM treatment had boosted the dry matter output, which may have been caused by a greater extraction of groundnut-derived macro- and micronutrients. Utilizing FYM increased the soils' moisture content and enhanced the accessibility of potassium to plants, boosting its availability to crops. The addition of FYM may have prevented soil from being depleted in potassium, resulting in a favourable potassium balance and maximal P fixation (Akbari et al., 2002). The beneficial effects of FYM in combination with the necessary amount of fertilisers may be due to the improvement of the physical, chemical, and biological conditions of the soil brought about by organic matter, which promotes better plant development (Deshmukh et al., 2005).

Poultry farming is growing in India. A small source of both macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S) and micronutrients (Cu, Fe, Mn, B), chicken farming can increase soil porosity, oil, carbon, and N content, and oil microbial activity. Given the high concentration of nutrients in chicken manure, adding a little quantity of it to an integrated nutrition management system might somewhat make up for the absence of FYM. In this situation, organic manures including farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost (VC), and poultry manure (PM) may be able to supply sufficient micronutrients to crops in useable form while also enhancing the quality of agricultural output with a combination with various spacings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Farm, Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, G.H. Rasoni University, Saikheda, Chhindwara, M.P. Chhindwara district lays in the Satpura Range. Average annual rainfall is 1,183 mm. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral and low in organic carbon. Soil was medium in nitrogen contain (193.00 kg/ha), low in phosphorus contain (19.89 kg/ha) and high in potassium contain. The set of nine treatment combination consist of three different spacing and three different OM which is applied @ 12 kg/ha of FYM, @ 6 kg/ha VC and @ 6 kg/ha of PM. The experiment was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Deign with

the treatment combination is T₁: S₁O₁ (30 cm x 10 cm + FYM), T₂: S₁O₂ (30 cm x 10 cm + VC), T₃: S₁O₃ (30 cm x 10 cm + PM), T₄: S₂O₁ (30 cm x 15 cm + FYM), T₅: S₂O₂ (30 cm x 15 cm + VC), T₆: S₂O₃ (30 cm x 15 cm + PM), T₇: S₃O₁ (45 cm x 10 cm + FYM), T₈: S₃O₂ (45 cm x 10 cm + VC) and T₉: S₃O₃ (45 cm x 10 cm + PM) and total number of plots is 27. At the time of experiment, the maximum mean of temperature was 41.6⁰C whereas the minimum mean temperature was 5.68⁰C. The economics of groundnut crop was calculated as per the fundamental market prices of the input and produced during the *kharif* season 2021.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield and Yield attributes

Yield attributes, yield and haulm yield affected by treatment combination (Table 1). Among all the treatment combination, PM with the spacing of 30 x 10 cm recorded significantly highest number of pods/plant (No.), length of pods (cm), kernels/pod (No.), seed index (g), shelling percentage (%), haulm yield (kg/ha) and harvest index (%). The pod/plant shows significantly result with (T₃) 30 cm x 10 cm + PM (30.67). In number of pods per plant (30.67) (T₃) which was statistically at par with the application of (T₆) 30 cm x 15 cm + PM (30.40) and (T₂) 30 cm x 10 cm + VC (29.93). It shows that appropriate spacing gives the higher numbers of pods per plant as compared to far spacing and it was also influenced using suitable organic manure which provides more nutrients for the plant growth. The results are in conformity with the findings of Premanandarajah, 2018 and Konlan *et al*, 2013.

The kernels/pod and kernel yield was recorded highest result with (T₃) 30 cm x 10 cm + PM (2.07) and (23.45 q/ha) and gives higher haulm yield, seed index, shelling % and harvest index (%) which 39.56 q/ha, 43.22 g, 74.76 % and 24.07% resp. The treatment number three (T₃) were recorded statistically at par with (T₆) 30 cm x 15 cm + PM (2.00 and 22.15 q/ha) and (T₂) 30 cm x 10 cm + VC (1.93 and 21.85 q/ha). For any crop to give significant yield attributes required optimum spacing and nutrients for better growth and development of crop. The result supports the findings of Premanandarajah, 2018 who reported that the maximum number of kernels in pod and kernel yield.

Table 1: Influence of different spacing and organic manure on the yield attributes and yields of Groundnut

Treatments Combination		Pods/ plant (No.)	Kernel/ pod (No.)	Seed index (g)	Kernel yield (q/ha)	Haulm Yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T ₁	30 cm X 10 cm + FYM	21.07	1.60	39.92	19.85	37.85	23.51
T ₂	30 cm X 10 cm + VC	29.93	1.93	40.64	21.85	38.05	23.89
T ₃	30 cm X 10 cm + PM	30.67	2.07	43.22	23.45	39.56	24.07
T ₄	30 cm X 15 cm + FYM	20.87	1.53	39.60	19.65	35.66	23.65
T ₅	30 cm X 15 cm + VC	25.60	1.67	40.31	20.75	36.61	23.52
T ₆	30 cm X 15 cm + PM	30.40	2.00	41.33	22.15	38.98	23.98
T ₇	45 cm X 10 cm + FYM	18.07	1.13	30.20	18.54	32.75	23.00
T ₈	45 cm X 10 cm + VC	18.73	1.20	34.88	19.05	35.63	23.96
T ₉	45 cm X 10 cm + PM	20.40	1.40	37.59	19.45	35.45	23.99
S. Em (\pm)		0.83	0.14	0.34	0.72	0.51	0.24
CD (P = 0.05)		1.76	-	0.71	1.52	1.09	-

DAS: Days after sowing, FYM : Farm Yard Manure , VC : Vermicompost , PM : Poultry Manure

ECONOMICS ANALYSIS

Data on table 2. The study of the economics achievability of the different treatment combination in groundnut revealed that (Table 2), the highest cost of cultivation (73181.51 ₹/ha) recorded in (T₂, T₃ and T₆) 30 cm x 10 cm + VC, 30 cm x 10 cm + PM and 30 cm x 15 cm + PM due to variation in seed rate and cost of manure as compared to other two manures. The maximum gross return (172022.00 ₹/ha), net return (99367.00 ₹/ha) and maximum B: C ratio (1.37) was obtained with (T₃) 30 cm x 10 cm + PM.

Table 2: Influence of different spacing and organic manure on the economics of Groundnut

	Treatments Combination	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)	Gross monetary return (Rs./ha)	Net monetary return (Rs./ha)	B: C ratio
T ₁	30 cm X 10 cm + FYM	69437.51	150413.00	80975.5	1.17
T ₂	30 cm X 10 cm + VC	73181.51	161593.00	88411.5	1.21
T ₃	30 cm X 10 cm + PM	72655.01	172022.00	99367.00	1.37
T ₄	30 cm X 15 cm + FYM	68209.01	146908.00	78699.00	1.15
T ₅	30 cm X 15 cm + VC	70256.1	153981.00	83724.5	1.19
T ₆	30 cm X 15 cm + PM	71251.01	164260.00	93009.00	1.31
T ₇	45 cm X 10 cm + FYM	66395.51	137624.2	71228.69	1.07
T ₈	45 cm X 10 cm + VC	67916.51	142487.00	74570.49	1.10
T ₉	45 cm X 10 cm + PM	68443.01	145581.00	77137.99	1.13

DAS: Days after sowing, FYM: Farm Yard Manure, VC: Vermicompost, PM: Poultry Manure

CONCLUSION

It may be determined from the outcomes of the groundnut cultivation that, to get maximum kernel yield (23.45 q/ha), haulm yield (39.56 q/ha) and net return (99367.00 ₹/ha), groundnut should grow with the optimum spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm and to fulfil their nutrient value through poultry manure used.

REFERNCES

1. Agriculture Marketing Intelligence Centre, PJTSAU, Jan 2022
2. Donga, S. and Mathukia, R.K. (2021). Effect of Vermicompost Enriched with Biofertilizers, Bioagents and Micronutrients on Growth and Yield of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). International Journal of Environment and Climate Change 11(7): 52-58.
3. Ghaly, F.M.A., Soliman, M.A., Moursy, A.A., Ismail, M.M. and Elshayeb, M.M.A. (2018). Effect of organic and mineral nitrogen sources with and without Rhizobium inoculation on growth and yield of common bean plant using 15N tracer technique. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., 9(9): 433 – 437.

4. Avitoli, K., Singh, A.K., Kanaujia, S.P. and Singh, V.B. (2021). Quality production of kharif onion (*Allium cepa*) in response to biofertilizers inoculated organic manures. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 82(3): 236- 240.
5. Subrahmaniyan, K., Kalaiselvan, P., Manickam, G. and Arulmozhi, N. (2000). Spacing and fertilizer requirement for confectionery groundnut varieties. Crop Res., 19(2): 210-212.
6. Akbari, K.N., Sutaria, G.S., Hirpara, D.S., Kunjadia, B.A. and Patel, V.N. (2002). Effect of phosphorus fertilization with and without FYM on groundnut yield and soil fertility under rainfed condition. Legume Res., **25**(2): 117-120.
7. Deshmukh, K.K., Khatik, S.K. and Dubey, D.P.(2005). Effect of Integrated use of inorganic, organic and bio fertilizers on production, Nutrient availability of plateau and Satpura hills. *J.Soils Crops*. 15: 21-25.
8. Premanandarajah, P. (2018). Studies on the Effect of Phosphorus from Organic Manures on Soil Phosphorus, Yield and Quality of Groundnut. Elixir International Journal, Elixir Agriculture 116: 50308-50311.
9. Konlan, S., Sarkodie-Addo J., Asare E., Adu-Dapaah, H. and Kombiok M.J. (2013). Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Varietal Response to Spacing in The Humid Forest Zone of Ghana. ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, 8(9): 642-651.