

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91200
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different spacing and organic manure on the yield and economics of groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- There are many mistakes in grammar and spelling.- Some sentences need rephrasing.- Rewrite the introduction.- Need more references. There are only 9.- Rewrite references as the example I write it.- Mention the number of replication of experiment design.- Mention studied traits in materials and methods part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- I have corrected my grammatical mistakes- I have rephrased it- Added references- Done with rewriting the references- DONE
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- There is no control treatment.- There are many mistakes in spelling, grammar, phrase.- There are many mistakes in writing the citation. Some citation without years.- There are some values in abstract and results differ from the values in the tables.- References need to write in the same style.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- For control, our goal was to study effect of organic inputs (manures) on the growth and yield attributes in groundnut. Several earlier studies have already well-established the effects under control situations/condition, so it was thought to have more focus effects of different sources of organic manures.
Optional/General comments	See all comments and corrections on the manuscript. I did all corrections in the comments.	done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	