

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92434
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different level of NPK and CRF for plant growth and rhizome yield in Arrowroot (Maranta arundinacae L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Introduction - First paragraph: The reference on production data is out of date. I recommend citing a current bibliographic reference source;Introduction - Second paragraph: It is necessary to cite a reference (scientific literature) for the exposed data;Introduction - Fifth paragraph: It is necessary to cite a reference (scientific literature) for information on the performance of nutrients;Materials and Methods – It is necessary to describe the number of replicates of evaluated plants, as well as the experimental design;Results and Discussion: Substitute the word parameter for variable. Parameter has statistical connotation;Results and discussion: The results do not have statistical support, as no statistical analysis was performed. Thus, the comparison between treatments becomes invalid. I recommend performing analysis, for later description of the results. Despite this, I believe that the discussion is well-founded.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Review scientific literature references and the methodology used (design and analysis).	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Compliance with the suggested recommendations is essential for the quality of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thâmara Moura Lima
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Brazil