

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92373
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the root architecture with nodulation of the chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) as influence by different moisture management practices along with seed inoculation and level of zinc
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This work's overarching idea is meaningful.</p> <p>Overall, this manuscript lacked coherence and a defined format; disorder reigned supreme in every section.</p> <p>The presentation and language are both poor.</p> <p>Several verbs and phrases appear repeatedly throughout the text.</p> <p>The methodology part was not separated into points and was not covered with current references.</p> <p>The writers were unable to address the research objectives issue because there are no longer any modes of action that are well-supported by graphics.</p> <p>The authors were unable to effectively respond to the original study's main question by covering essential subtopics.</p> <p>What statistical software was used in this study?</p> <p>Please utilize statistical analysis to support anything you've said.</p> <p>There are no graphics or charts to accompany the tabulated results.</p> <p>Please completely edit the manuscript as suggested before resubmitting it to assents.</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	