Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Environment and Climate Change**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJECC_92139**
Title of the Manuscript:	
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There is no clear objective of the research found. Where is it? Please clarify 2. Some abbreviations in the abstract is not mentioned, made readers impossible to understand the meaning 3. Missing objective statement in the Introduction part. 4. The introduction section should state the general view and reasons to support your research. Citations are also needed. 5. The material and methods section should be rewritten with separating what are the materials used in this study and what are the methods of experiment and data collection. 6. Error wording in this material and methods section such as three treatments or fifteen treatments, need to be double checked by authors 7. The colour of soil should be in standard colour. What is medium brown colour? 8. Each section (Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions, etc) need to be cleared, do not mix results in materials and methods section and do not mix methods of data collection in the results and discussion section. Please revise and provide clear experiment and data collection and data analysis methods. 9. Tables should be in uniform format. 10. The data in Table 1 made the readers confuse on Source column. Are these new research findings or citing from these references? If the data is new, the table should be in Results and Discussion section. 11. Some sub-titles in Results and Discussion section are not clear. For example, Days to 50% germination. 12. Measurement shouldn't be stated in the sub-title. 13. It is strange to study the east-west spread and north-south spread of the plant. What are these parameters? 14. Some data should be presented in graph to better read and understand by readers	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dyna Theng**
Department, University & Country	**Royal University of Agriculture, Cambodia**