



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The authors are suggested to properly address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Duplicated keywords (same as the paper title) must be re-chosen.</li> <li>2. The reference list must be alphabetically ordered according to the last names of the first author.</li> <li>3. Decimal places of all the correlation coefficients must be unified to either 3 or 4 places, not both.</li> <li>4. Table number referred to in the text should be in sequential order, not "Table 7" referred to first.</li> <li>5. <b>Most tables are not self-explanatory.</b></li> <li>6. Tables 3 to 6 have not been referred to in the text. Where in the text should the readers refer to the right table?</li> <li>7. In Tables 5 and 6, why the coefficients between the same parameters (such as Df50%) not equal to 1.0000?</li> <li>8. <b>What is the practical implication and contribution from this study results? Without this descriptive, this article can only be counted as an excellent experimental report, not a scientific journal paper.</b></li> </ol>	<p>Changes have been made for the given points except for points 5 and 8</p> <p>Point 5 in the editorial comments implies that the tables are not self-explanatory, please give a detailed report on the changes that are to be made to the tables.</p> <p>Please elaborate the point 8 so that the changes can be made more accurately</p>