

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91619
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS-SECTOR ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES AND IMPLEMENTATION STEPS; THE NIGERIAN PERSPECTIVE.
Type of the Article	Policy Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There is a repetition of words like “this article” in the abstract. Structurally it sounds monotonous. Please replace the words with some better ones.</li><li>2. Background and introduction may be merged. Or, alternatively, you may write the introduction followed by the Review of literature.</li><li>3. Please check all the in-text citations and match them with the references.</li><li>4. You may include a methodology section where you can mention the review of secondary sources of literature and meta-analysis as tools for the study.</li><li>5. In the title, replace the semi-colon with a colon mark and also remove the full stop at the end.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The words usage has been corrected</li><li>2. The background and introduction have been merged</li><li>3. All missing in-text citations have been added</li><li>4. A methodology section has been added</li><li>5. The punctuations in the title have been adjusted accordingly</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall good attempt but it seems more like an essay rather than a scientific article.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	