Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_92192
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) on Nutrition among Rural Farm Women of Chickballapur District of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	In which country is this area?	
	To simplify you can use % instead of word percent and the percentage can be used without comma.	
	The area was selective purposively-please state why this area is selected? le higher malnutrition	
	Last sentence: what kind of intervention did you propose with regards to the KAP status	
	Introduction	
	There are many information and data in the background with NO supportive references. If this is not your statement/conclusion/result please	
	put the references	
	Material and methods	
	Have the questionnaire been validated? Or if you are using questionnaire from other study, could you put the reference?	
	Could you named differently for table 1, 2, and 3 depending on which characteristics ie table 1: scoring for knowledge , table 2: scoring for	
	attitue etc	
	Any reference which use the same scoring as yours? Result and Discussion	
	Same as previous comments, you can use % instead of word percent, which is not common	
	Description paragraph for table 6. Please highlight the importance result from the table 6, you can not mention all statements as people prefer	
	to see from the table 6 than read the long paragraph. Please highlight the important finding and discuss with result from other references.	
	Same for table 7.8	
	You can also state in the Methods that you will do corelation analysis	
	I don't know if you are aware, but sometime knowledge is not always correlate with practice. You can discussion this point further to make the	
	analysis and finding more interesting	
	References	
	Please use number of references used in the paper. le (1), (2) etc	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
	There are some aspect need to be rework to make this result more interesting for reader.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yulianti Wibowo
Department, University & Country	Indonesia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)