Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CJAST_91998**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CONSTRAINTS FACED BY THE BENEFICIARIES OF PMFBY AND SUGGESTIONS GIVEN BY THEM TO OVERCOME THE CONSTRAINTS IN SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The author has not undertaken correlation and regression analysis, it is appropriate to present the results for meaningful analysis.** **Author has listed all 20 constraints expressed by the respondents and as well all the 20 suggestions given by the sample respondents. It is necessary to prioritise them , this is where the functional analysis like correlation and regression will strengthen the paper.**	
Minor REVISION comments	I have indicated correction in the paper that may be looked into.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**H. Chandrashekar**
Department, University & Country	**University of Agricultural Sciences, India**