

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_91998
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY THE BENEFICIARIES OF PMFBY AND SUGGESTIONS GIVEN BY THEM TO OVERCOME THE CONSTRAINTS IN SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author has not undertaken correlation and regression analysis, it is appropriate to present the results for meaningful analysis.</p> <p>Author has listed all 20 constraints expressed by the respondents and as well all the 20 suggestions given by the sample respondents. It is necessary to prioritise them , this is where the functional analysis like correlation and regression will strengthen the paper.</p>	Comment accepted and considered
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I have indicated correction in the paper that may be looked into.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	