

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_93094
Title of the Manuscript:	Monkeypox and Monkey fever: Basic understanding for better community participation in disease control
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In a view of recent pandemic, it has becomes very much inevitable to understand basics of the infections, disease prevention and treatment modalities. The current article distinguishes between Monkeypox and Monkey fever, which otherwise can be confused with one another. The article reviews both diseases from its molecular characterization to treatment. However, contradictory to its title it fails to comments on preventive aspects. Conclusion is little lengthy and descriptive.	The prevention methods for both the Monkeypox and Monkey fever infection are described in a separate paragraph under the subtitle Prevention. The changes requested by the reviewer are accepted and made as per the instructions and suggestions. Have deleted few points from the conclusion and also highlighted the recently added or changed sentences.
Minor REVISION comments	Article needs attention to few grammatical mistakes and layout goof ups, especially with tables. The manuscript layout should be submitted in single column than two.	Changes made as per the instruction.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issues in the manuscript.