

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_92456
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF FARMERS' TRAINING NEEDS ON DROUGHT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVED CROPS AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION IN NORTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Hello Editor, Below are my comments on the work reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Please, restructure your work to abide with the journal template by including all parts as instructed• To have a well-conceived and clear work, revise your entire Methodology/Materials sections by adding key parts such as:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Introductory paragraph for the section/chapter○ Research design○ Research approach(es)• Also, refine the following parts to help readers getting you because with this work it is hard to get your points; Clearly,<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Explain the tools used for data collection○ Explain the sampling strategies applied○ Talk about the research instruments, test them, and then Validate and test their reliability. Here you are confusing readers.○ Provide enough details about data you are collecting, the variables in your data, why you are collecting such data, its relationship to the research objectives, and its contribution to the findings of the study.• Your tables and graphs/pictures has to be formatted in a well presentable way to help readers visualize the results.	
Minor REVISION comments	Handle typos, punctuations, capitalizations, and some other grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the work has a good and the author(s) tried to tackle the solution to the presented research problem. In addition, the references used are mostly recent with few that last more than five years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pierre Clement Cyemezo
Department, University & Country	Kepler College, Rwanda