

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_92712
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcomes of Diode Laser in Treatment of Common Anal Surgical Lesions (Haemorrhoids, Chronic Fissure and Fistula). A Prospective Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is advisable to have a control group (conventional surgical group) for each type of application. 2. Laser parameters was chosen on what bases (pilot study or manufacturer recommendations) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regarding having a control group, I agree with you but the aim of our study was to evaluate the outcomes of a new technique (diode laser) as the already conventional techniques had well established results. Thanks for your comment. 2. Laser parameters were chosen according to both the manufacturer recommendations and previous studies which used the same device. Thanks for your comment.
Optional/General comments	Very interesting subject	Thank you for your kind words.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there was no ethical issues in this manuscript.