

Review Form1.6

JournalName:	AsianJournalofResearchinInfectiousDiseases
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRID_92108
TitleoftheManuscript:	ScrubTyphus-InducedMacrophageActivationSyndromewithFlareofSystemicLupusErythematosus; ARareCaseReport
TypeoftheArticle	Casereport

General guidelineforPeerReviewprocess:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeerReviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthislink:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript "Scrub Typhus-Induced Macrophage Activation Syndrome with Flare of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus; A Rare Case Report" refers to a case report about 13-year-old girl, a known case of neuropsychiatric lupus with lupus nephritis, on immunosuppressant for the last 1 year had stopped all medications for 3 months. According to the manuscript, infections should be diagnosed and treated in patients with lupus presenting with a flare or life-threatening macrophage activation syndrome, because the administration of high doses of immunosuppressants without treatment of the infection can result in serious consequences. However, it is important to make minor changes ("minor revision comments") before the manuscript is published.	Thank you for your valuable feedback. I have made the necessary corrections according to the reviews.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I recommend checking English and references.	I have made the necessary corrections and checked again for any grammatical errors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The insertion of graphs/tables in results and biochemical profiles presented in the case will be able to enrich this work.	I have inserted a table as per your valuable suggestion

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript.