

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_92567
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraction Vs Non-Extraction / The Debate Continues : A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This review article was an example for the new researcher in the dental field. The reason behind extraction /non-extraction required or not before tooth alignment. The article may require some correction in the title, abstract, introduction and reference section. Most significantly evidence based scientific explanation must require with graphical presentation which one is mandatory either Extraction or non-Extraction before tooth alignment. In addition, reference must be follow the single style with URL of the following such as</b></p> <p>1. Saghafi N, Heaton LJ, Bayirli B, Turpin DL. Influence of clinicians' experience and gender on extraction decision in orthodontics. Angle Orthodontist.2017; 87(5):641–50.</p> <p>2. Guez C, Jackson TH, Lin FC, Profitt, WR, Ko CC. Extraction frequencies at a university orthodontic clinic in the 21st century: demographic and diagnostic factors affecting the likelihood of extraction. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2017; 151(3):456–62.</p> <p>3. Kirschneck C, Proff P, Reicheneder C, Lipold, C. Short-term effects of systematic premolar extraction on lip profile, vertical dimension and cephalometric parameters in borderline patients for extraction therapy—a retrospective cohort study. Clin O Invest. 2016; 20(4):865–74.</p> <p>5. Hunter J. The Natural History of the Human Teeth: Explaining their Structure, Use, Formation, Growth, and Diseases. Uk: London Publishers, 1865.</p> <p>6. Wahl N. The last graduate. Pacific Coast Society of Orthodontists Bulletin .1988; 60 :37-42.</p> <p>7. Case CS .The question of extraction in orthodontia. Trans NDA-reprinted. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 1911-reprint 1964; 50(9):660-91.</p> <p>8. Tweed CH. Indications for the extraction of teeth in orthodontic procedure. Am J Orthod O surg. 1944; 30(8):405-28.</p> <p>9. Simms MR . P Raymond (1898-1983). Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 1983; 83(5):445-6.</p> <p>37. Daskalogiannakis J (2000) <i>Glossary of Orthodontic Terms</i>. Hanover Park: Quintessence Publishing, IL;.</p> <p>38. Roberts WE, Smith RK, Zilberman Y et al. (1984) Osseous adaptation to continuous loading of rigid endosseous implants. <i>American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics</i> 86(2):95-111.</p> <p>39. Forrest JL (2009) <i>Evidence-based decision making: a translational guide for dental professionals</i>. Philadelphia :Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams &amp; Wilkins.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The following minor correction must be ensured grammatical errors with font style with numbers	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashek Elahi Noor
Department, University & Country	University of Dhaka, Bangladesh