

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_91736
Title of the Manuscript:	Application Of Classical Test Theory (CTT) in the validation of teacher made Mathematics Multiple Choice Test (MMCT) items in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The research gap is necessary to be describe it in the Abstract and discuss it in details at the Introduction section.</li><li>2. Add more updated references; some of the references are old dated. The new references is to show the trend on the application of CTT at the current research area.</li><li>3. At Introduction (page#1), different definitions of "assessment" is provided, the author needs to identify which definition is used at this study.</li><li>4. At (page#2), the author starts with a section "1.1 Classical Test Theory". It is better to mention the part name like "Literature Reviews" before discussing "1.1 Classical Test Theory" and related sub-sections.</li><li>5. Part "1.4 Reliability" listed with different reliability methods; here the author described each type. What is the most appropriate type that fits with the current study? why?</li><li>6. At (page#5), it is mentioned the Purpose of study and Research questions. Here is it better to merge this section within the introduction part.</li><li>7. Part "4.0 Discussion": extend this part by discussing how you cover each of the research questions and the results you obtained compare the obtained results with most updated studies.</li><li>8. Required proofreading.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulla Jaafar Mohamed Hasan Ali
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaya, Malaysia