

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_93256
Title of the Manuscript:	Process technology for production of hydrogen-rich water and water characterizing by highly negative oxidation reduction potential
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the topic about results and discussion, the authors should focus more specifically on this item, because in the manuscript there is repetition of comments present in other places in the article, in addition to texts that do not refer to the indicated topic. Also in conclusions the text extends beyond that prescribed for conciseness and clarity of conclusion.	The publication presented is multifaceted and covers several key issues in this respect, such as: physicochemical parameters of water at each production stage, changes in time between the concentration of gaseous hydrogen dissolved in water and the values of oxidation reduction potential water, stability of the obtained product, construction of a technological installation and applied analytical methods for the determination of gaseous hydrogen in water. Each of these issues is widely discussed in the publication. Several changes have been made to the text to better illustrate the problem. The changes are marked in yellow.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	