

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_93777
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of safety factors of pipelines through the dike
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please add "reverbed" to Keywords section. 2. It is essential to add paper organization at the end of Introduction section. 3. A comparison of this study is recommended to be done with other works in the same field to show the differences between them. 4. Please add your finding statements in abstract section. 5. The Introduction provides a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. However, the Paper organization must be written at the end of introduction. 6. Please use numbering for headings for all levels like 1, 1.1 and so on...., like 1. Introduction. 7. Please use figure numbers and captions underneath each figure in sequential order, such as figure 1, figure 2, and so forth instead of using the style figure 1.1, figure 2.3. 8. The correct heading number for "2 seismic analysis theory of overbank pipelines) is 3 instead of 2. 9. Please use sequential orders for all equations like (1), (2) and so forth. 10. Please rewrite your Conclusions and Future Works instead of 5 Conclusion Language. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idrees Kocher
Department, University & Country	Duhok Polytechnic University, Iraq