Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Mathematics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJOM_90239**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A note on the new method of computing the inverse of 3 × 3 square matrices and its applications**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy)

<mark>PART 1:</mark> Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The manuscript is good but needs to reconstruct where there are some important points have been highlighted that the authors must take it in account to make the manuscript ready for publication • It is better to rephrase the title appropriately. • The abstract is poorly written and doesn't contain the final results and the main conclusion.	Revision done
Minor REVISION comments	• The Introduction section of the manuscript needs additional information details where the problem and objective of research are not well described	Revision done
Optional/General comments	• The research work requires more edit for further clarity as well as rewrite the manuscript scientifically	Revision done

<mark>PART 2:</mark>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	