

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_93438
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation Between Transgingival Probing and CBCT Evaluation for Determination of Gingival Biotype
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-how can the period (17 th August 2016 to 16 th February 2016). 2-in material and methods section it was mentioned about (annex) which is not present in the manuscript. 3-it is not clear the area of gingiva which was measured and the tooth area.is it right and left upper central 4- in table 4 it is written (on visual inspection on radiographic) this is not clear it is only radiographic assessment. 5-it is not clear the role of gender in the results or the role of the figure1 and 2. 6-the discussion has a repetition of the results and not all results had been discussed. 7- the conclusion is not aligned with the aim(gingival biotype should be established in every periodontal disease patient in order to provide predictable restorative and surgical treatment results). No investigation in this reseach has direct relation with restorative or surgical treatment.	
Minor REVISION comments	Inclusion criteria has some points can be exclusion criteria. Statistical section too long	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maha Eshak Amer
Department, University & Country	Minia University, Egypt