

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_93124
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the quality of dried tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) processed using different drying methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Some key words are recommended in the manuscript</b> <b>Not all the table names are referenced (mention them explaining about it) in the text of manuscript.</b> <b>Please ensure the originality of all figures</b> <b>Typographical errors need to be rectified.</b> <b>Language and grammar need to taken care of.</b> <b>Addition of 2-3 more figures for better understanding of the concept</b> <b>Some more references can be added</b>	-corrected as per reviewer comments -incorporated successfully in the text. -I dint understand what do you mean by originality where I could provide the original figure as required. -it could be helpful for me if reviewer identify what sentence/words and grammatically mistake occurred. -unclear to me because according to our experimental data we tried to represents the all data in table and figures. It would be better reviewer can suggest necessary the figures should be added. -it could be much better to show where in the manuscripts needed more references.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</b>	