

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_94188
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare Presentation of Disseminated Tuberculosis in the Present Era of Modern Tuberculosis Management
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Please author stick with the journal references style and references in the references style must be all in same style 2- Please put all figure caption in their appropriate location (under figure) 3- Many figures contain no caption at all 4- Please do not refer to a figure within the heading or subheading, when you want to refer to a figure (for example) you can star as: HRCT Chest showed (Figure-1): As appear in Figure 1 that show radiographical findings of the patient chest 5- Figures caption need more explaining 6- Please author try to do not explain any subject with number bullets just when it is necessary to use it, and I saw that the subject and heading/subheading can be easily explained without numbering. 7- There was no mention for figure 1 in the main text!!!!!! 8- When you want to refer to a figure in the main text you write it as Figure not a Fig. (fig. used only in the figure caption. 9- Introduction too brief you need to explain more and showing your main object and gradually enter to your main idea from this study. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I think the figure 2 and 3 was the same just differ in the magnification size of the image. So, I think you can remove the figure 3	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasir Haider Al-Mawlah
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq