

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_90077
Title of the Manuscript:	Representation of the Omnibus Law in The Jakarta Post Article Entitled “Omnibus Law Boosts Indonesian Growth Prospects”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Make the Abstract more standard.</li><li>2. Results and Conclusion should be well-detailed.</li><li>3. Propose more analysis to back up your findings.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It has been revised in the Abstract section</li><li>2. The conclusion has been sharpened by relating the concluding points to the inclination of the newspaper &amp; the author to the government, which also shows the basic idea of CDA.</li><li>3. Due to time and space constraints, unfortunately this cannot be done. However, it will be considered for further research.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Correct some grammatical errors.</li><li>2. Widen the scope of your analysis more.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It has been revised</li><li>2. For this research, the focus is limited to the micro level analysis only. As for the other scopes (macro &amp; meso), they will be done in further research.</li></ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall there are a lot of potentials in the write up but there are still some work to be done. Accept the Manuscript with some minor revision.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.